MEMORANDUM

May 1, 2006

TO:	THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CLAIMS BOARD
FROM:	DWYER, DALY, BROTZEN & BRUNO, LLP RICHARD S. KEMALYAN
	GORDON W. TRASK Principal Deputy County Counsel Law Enforcement Services Division
RE:	Jane D. v. County of Los Angeles, et al. USDC Case No. CV 04-7621 ABC (MANx)
DATE OF INCIDENT:	September 15, 2002
AUTHORITY REQUESTED:	\$425,000
COUNTY DEPARTMENT:	SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
CLAIMS BOARD ACTION:	
Approve	Disapprove Recommend to Board of Supervisors for Approval
ROCKY ARMFIELD, Chief Administrative Office	
JOHN F. KRATTLI	
MAPIA M. OMS. Auditor-Controller	
WARIA W. OWIS	
on May	

SUMMARY

This is a recommendation to settle for \$425,000 a federal civil rights lawsuit filed by Jane D., who alleges that she was sexually assaulted by a Sheriff's Deputy.

LEGAL PRINCIPLES

A police officer may be liable for violation of civil rights, if a detention is made without reasonable suspicion. A police officer may also be liable for violation of civil rights, if he/she conducts an unreasonable search of an individual even during a lawful detention or arrest.

The County of Los Angeles may be held liable for federal civil rights violations for a detention made without reasonable suspicion or an excessive use of force during the search of an individual, if the detention and/or force was pursuant to an unconstitutional policy or custom.

SUMMARY OF FACTS

On September 15, 2002, a Sheriff's Deputy conducted a traffic stop on Michael Cash, who was parked in a gas station in the Lost Hills area. Mr. Cash's vehicle did not have proper registration tags. Jane D. was Mr. Cash's passenger. When the Deputy approached the car, Jane D. went to the restroom. When she came out, the Deputy placed Jane D. in the back of his patrol car, supposedly to search her. Jane D. alleges that the Deputy then sexually assaulted her.

The Sheriff's Department located Jane D. during the course of an internal criminal investigation involving a claim of sexual assault of another woman by the same Deputy. At that time, Jane D. advised investigators of what had occurred to her during her detention and search.

The Deputy was criminally prosecuted for not only the offense involving Jane D., but for the other offense with the other woman. The Deputy was convicted of the offense involving the second female, but the jury could not reach a verdict on the charges involving Jane D.

DAMAGES

Should this matter proceed to trial, we estimate the potential damages could be as follows:

 Counseling expenses
 \$ 50,000

 Emotional distress
 \$1,000,000

 Attorneys' fees
 \$ 500,000

 Total
 \$1,550,000

The proposed settlement calls for the County to pay \$425,000 to Jane D. for all of her damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

STATUS OF CASE

The trial court proceedings have been suspended pending consideration of the proposed settlement. Jane D.'s initial settlement demand was \$1.9 million. At a recent mediation, the proposed settlement was negotiated. Expenses incurred by the County in defense of this matter are attorneys' fees of \$37,495 and \$3,915 in costs.

EVALUATION

Although the criminal jury could not reach a verdict on the charges in which Jane D. was the victim, the Deputy was convicted on similar charges involving another female where the alleged conduct was nearly identical. That will lend substantial credibility to the version of the incident provided by Jane D. Furthermore, the burden of proof in a civil case is lower than that which is needed for a criminal conviction.

A reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs and a potential verdict which, along with attorneys' fees, would likely exceed the proposed settlement.

We join with our private attorney, Dwyer, Daly, Brotzen, and Bruno, in recommending this settlement in the amount of \$425,000. The Sheriff's Department concurs in the recommendation.

ROGER H. GRANBO

APPROVED:

Assistant County Counsel

Law Enforcement Services Division

RHG:bl